See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/324106393

Uncertainty of b-value Estimation in Connection with Magnitude Distribution Properties of Small Data Sets

Poster · March 2018	
CITATIONS	READS
0	9
2 authors, including:	
Konstantinos Michail Leptokaropoulos Polish Academy of Sciences 50 PUBLICATIONS 56 CITATIONS	
SEE PROFILE	
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:	
Project SHEER - Shale gas Exploration and Exploitation induced Risks - An EU Horizon 2020 Project View project	

Project Digital Research Space of Induced Seismicity for EPOS Purposes (IS-EPOS project) View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Konstantinos Michail Leptokaropoulos on 30 March 2018.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.



Uncertainty of *b***-value Estimation in Connection with Magnitude Distribution Properties of Small Data Sets**

Konstantinos Leptokaropoulos¹ and Angeliki Adamaki²

¹Department of Seismology, Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, K. Janusza 64, 01 452 Warsaw, Poland, kleptoka@igf.edu.pl, ²Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Villavägen 16, 752 36 Uppsala, Sweden

Summary

In this study we evaluate the efficiency of the commonly used maximum likelihood estimator of the Gutenberg-Righter (G-R) b-value, as it was introduced by Aki (1965). We use synthetic data sets which exhibit diverse but well defined properties. The deviation of the estimated *b* parameter from its real value is quantified by Monte Carlo simulations as a function of catalogue features and data properties, e.g. the sample size, the distribution of magnitude uncertainties, the round-off interval of the reported magnitude values and the magnitude range (ΔM). Within the objective of this study, algorithms have been compiled for the determination of such observational-theoretical deviations and to facilitate the construction of nomograms corresponding to diverse cases of input parameters. In this way, a more accurate estimation of the uncertainty level for the *b*-value and Magnitude of Completeness (M_{c}) determination can be achieved, contributing to a more robust seismic hazard assessment, especially at low activity areas and induced seismicity sites. Such estimations may also be particularly relevant to evaluate the *b*-value uncertainties in moving time windows which comprise small number of events.

Synthetic Data

Assumptions:

Each synthetic catalogue comprises a complete part which follows the G-R law (with diverse b-values) and an incomplete part corresponding to a specified detection level Magnitudes are rounded to their first decimal

The noise assigned to the original synthetic catalogue is magnitude independent and normally distributed (μ =0, σ :0.0-0.4):

$$M' = M + \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{(M-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$

Complete part of the magnitude distribution:

$$f(M) = \frac{\beta \left[\exp(-\beta (M - M_{\min} + \Delta M/2)) \right]}{1 - \exp\left[-\beta (M_{\max} - M_{\min} + \Delta M/2) \right]} \quad for \quad M_{\min} \le M \le M_{\max}, \quad 0 \quad otherwise$$

$$F(M) = \begin{cases} 0 & for \quad M < M_{\min} \\ \frac{1 - \exp\left[(-\beta (M - M_{\min} + \Delta M/2)) \right]}{1 - \exp\left[(-\beta (M - M_{\min} + \Delta M/2)) \right]} & for \quad M_{\min} \le M \le M_{\max} \quad \beta = b \ln 10 \end{cases}$$





Our results indicate that a b-value analysis, especially for small data sets, should be carried out together with a ΔM analysis. Nomograms should be constructed and adjusted to each particular case study in order to achieve a more accurate estimation of the b-value and its corresponding uncertainty. Improper b-value and M_C evaluation may in turn lead to significant miscalculation of the actual seismicity rates and seismic hazard parameters (Leptokaropoulos et al., 2018).

$$(M) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - \exp[-\beta(M_{\text{max}} - M_{\text{min}} + \Delta M/2)]} \end{cases}$$

for
$$M > M_{\max}$$

Incomplete part of the magnitude distribution:

$$q(M \mid \mu, \sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{M_C} \exp\left[-\frac{(M-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dM, & M < M_C\\ & 1, & else \end{cases}$$

b-value: estimated by Aki (1965) estimator

 \bigstar $M_{\rm C}$: found with the modified Goodness of Fit Test (mGFT, Leptokaropoulos et al., 2013; 2018)



References

- Aki, K. [1965], Maximum likelihood estimate of b in the formula logN = a bM and its confidence limits. Bull. Earthquake Res. Inst. Tokyo Univ., 43, 237–239.
- Leptokaropoulos, K., V. G. Karakostas, E. E. Papadimitriou, A. Adamaki, O. Tan, and S. İnan [2013], A Homogeneous Earthquake Catalog for Western Turkey and Magnitude of Completeness Determination. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 103, 2739–2751. doi:10.1785/0120120174.
- Leptokaropoulos, K., A. Adamaki, R. Roberts, C. Gkarlaouni, and P. Paradisopoulou [2018], Impact of magnitude uncertainties on seismic catalog properties, Geophys J. Int., doi: 10.1093/gji/ggy023.
- Mignan, A., and J. Woessner [2012], Estimating the magnitude of completeness for earthquake catalogs, Community Online Resource for Statistical Seismicity Analysis, doi:10.5078/corssa-00180805.
- Woessner, J., and S. Wiemer [2005], Assessing the Quality of Earthquake Catalogues: Estimating the Magnitude of Completeness and Its Uncertainty. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 95, 684–698. doi:10.1785/0120040007.



7th EAGE Workshop on Passive Seismic, 26-29 March, 2018, Krakow, Poland



Acknowledgements

This work was supported within SHEER: "SHale gas Exploration and Exploitation induced Risks" project funded from the European Union Horizon 2020 – Research and Innovation Programme, under grant agreement 640896. The work was also partially supported within statutory activities No 3841/E-41/S/2018 of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland, and by the Otterborg scholarship (Geologiska Sektionen, Uppsala University).

