#### Preliminary results for Space-Time Clustering of Seismicity and its Connection to Stimulation Processes, in North-Western Geysers Geothermal Field

Konstantinos Leptokaropoulos<sup>1</sup>, Monika Staszek<sup>1</sup>, Stanisław Lasocki<sup>1</sup>, and Grzegorz Kwiatek<sup>2</sup>

kleptoka@igf.edu.pl, mstaszek@igf.edu.pl, lasocki@igf.edu.pl, kwiatek@gfz-potsdam.de





**European Seismological Commission** 





<sup>1</sup> Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland

<sup>2</sup> Section 3.2: Geomechanics and Rheology, GFZ Potsdam German Research Centre of Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany

Aim of the Study

Utilize the high quality relocated data from NW Geysers

Cluster Analysis in Multidimensional Parameter Space

Spatio-temporal Correlation between Seismicity and Injection

### Outline

- Data
- Methodology
  - Cluster Analysis
  - Correlation Analysis
- Results (Preliminary)
- Conclusions (Preliminary)
- References

stitute of Geophysics



### Data

1275 events in Relocated Catalog of NW

**Geysers** (Martinez-Garzon et al., 2014; Kwiatek et al., 2015)

- For Correlation Analysis:
  **1121 events** (Connected with Prati-9) *M<sub>C</sub>*=1.4

   **Daily Injection Volumes/Rates**
- For Cluster Analysis
  353 events

stitute of Geophysics

Spectral Parameters Calculated



### Methodology – Cluster Analysis

• Every seismic event can be represented as a point in a multiparameter phase space, described by a set of parameters  $[X_1, ..., X_n]$  (e.g. [t, x, y, h, M<sub>0</sub>, E<sub>s'</sub>  $\Delta \sigma$ ]) with CDFs as  $F_{X_1}, ..., F_{X_n}$ , respectively.

<u>Clustering</u>: Based on Distances Among these Points <u>Challenge</u>: Dealing with Different Metrics of Parameters

# Methodology: Transformation to Equivalent Dimensions (*Lasocki*, 2014)

- Transformation of  $X_i$  into  $U_i = Fx_i(X_i)$  i=1,...,p.  $U_i$  is uniformly distributed in [0,1].
- Each event is now parameterized by the vector  $U(U_1,...,U_p)$  in the Euclidean metric p-dimensional hyperspace.
- The distance between 2 events *k* and *l* is defined as:

$$d(k,l) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [U_i(k) - U_i(l)]^2}$$

Institute of Geophysics

sh Academy of Science



### Methodology: Cluster Analysis

• Clustering of seismic events in multidimensional parameter space by applying the Ward (1963) method - hierarchical families of mutually exclusive datasets are identified

Are closely located events also similar in terms of source properties?



### Methodology: Correlation Analysis

Evaluation of correlation between spatio-temporal seismicity distribution and variation of the injection parameters:

- Evaluating significance of seismicity rate changes between subsequent time windows
- Binomial test
- Time series correlation metrics/ smoothing data
- Cross correlation of time series
- -\_\_\_2 sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
- b-values evaluation and their relation with seismicity rates/ fluid injection



### Methodology: Correlation Analysis

Those metrics are applied to evaluate correlation for:

i)

ii)

 $P_{Wp}^{KS}Test>0.05$ 

achieved for lag=0

- Different distances from injection well Prati-9
- Different minimum magnitude thresholds
- Original and smoothed time-series

- Time series correlation metrics applied for determining the optimal time window width  $(W_{P})$  for smoothing



### Cluster Analysis Results



## 18 clusters with LD<0.07 in transformed XYZ were found LD of those clusters, in 7-parameter space (Space-Time-Spectral)



h Academy of Science

11

4 families of the most linked clusters (LD<1.5, N>6) in Spectral Parameter Space (Sd, R, M<sub>0</sub> - colored circles) plotted in 3D spatial coordinates (XYZ plot – left) and 2D epicentral (XY plot – right).



titute of Geophysics

Academy of Science



### 4 families the most linked clusters (LD<0.2, N>6) in XYZ coordinates (colored circles) plotted in 3D Spectral Parameter Space (Sd, R, M<sub>0</sub>)





### **Correlation Analysis Results**



### **Correlation Analysis**



15

### **Correlation Analysis**



#### b-value Analysis Event windows: 100.0 events - Events step: 20.0 Events 3 Seismicity Rate Difference ratio after/before Significant Rate Difference b-value Difference О -2 -3 500 1000 1500 2000 0 time (days since the beginning of catalog, i.e. 10-Dec-2007 10:28:01)

Seismicity Rate Change

Ð

Institute of Geophysics Polish Academy of Sciences



59.6% positive correlation 0.4 Ο 13 10 0.3 00 00  $\mathbf{0}$ 



Conclusions (Preliminary)

- Similar locations of events do not necessarily imply a similarity of the source characteristics of these events and vice versa
- Correlation between seismicity/injection is significant for distances > 200m from Prati-9
- No clear influence of injection on b-values was detected



### Acknowledgements

This work was supported within SHEER: "Shale Gas Exploration and Exploitation Induced Risks" project funded from Horizon 2020 – R&I Framework Programme, call H2020-LCE-2014-1 and within statutory activities No3841/E-41/S/2016 of Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland



http://www.sheerproject.eu/



### References

- Kwiatek, G., P. Marinez-Garzon, G. Dresen, M. Bohnhoff, H. Sone and C. Hartline (2015), Effects of long-term fluid injection on induced seismicity parameters and maximum magnitude in northwestern part of the Geysers geothermal field, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 120, doi:10.1002/2015JB012362.
- Lasocki, S. (2014), Transformation to equivalent dimensions a new methodology to study earthquake clustering, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 197, 1224-1235.
- Martinez-Garzon, P., G. Kwiatek, H. Sone, M. Bohnhoff, G. Dresen, and C. Hartline (2014), Spatiotemporal changes, faulting regimes, and source parameters of induced seismicity: A case study from The Geysers geothermal field. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 119, 8378-8396.
- Orlecka–Sikora, B. and S. Lasocki (2005), Nonparametric characterization of mining induced seismic sources. *in Proceedings of the Sixth Int. Symp. on Rockburst and Seismicity in Mines*, 2005 March 9–11, Australia, Nedlands, eds Potvin, Y. & Hudyma, M., pp. 555–560. Australian Centre for Geomechanics.
- Silverman, B.W. (1986), Density Estimation for Statistics and Data Analysis, Monograph, CRC Press, 175 pp.
- Ward, J.H., 1963. Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 58, 236–244.



# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

